

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/044,372	01/10/2002	Brian J. Mahoney	P05454US0	9324	
34082 7.	590 11/24/2004		EXAMINER		
ZARLEY LAW FIRM P.L.C.			CHIN, GARY		
CAPITAL SQU 400 LOCUST,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
DES MOINES, IA 50309-2350			3661	3661	
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/044,372	MAHONEY ET AL.					
navioury nation	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gary Chin	3661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 08 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	E THIS APPLICATION IN CON oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	DITION FOR ALLO ation. A proper reply h places the applica	WANCE. y to a tion in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper the final representation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	•					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	· ·	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.				
NOTE: Claim 2 as amended creates antecedent	basis problem.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 15-20.							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen							
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		GARY CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINE	<u></u> EA				

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's argument with regard to "election by original presentation" has been fully considered but is not deemed persuasive since group I (claims 1-14) is drawn to a method of remote monitoring equipment for a machine in which the detected fault information is automatically transmitted to a person having owner, custodial or service responsibility for the machine and group II (claims 15-20) is drawn to a specific method for monitoring equipment for an agricultrual machine by determining a performance parameter from the detected status of at least one operative part of the agricultural machine and subsequently transmitting a fault message to a remote location when the detected status and performance parameter fall outside the first and second predefined ranges respectively. As such, groups I and II are clearly two patentably distinct species and the restriction was proper..